Title of Report: Changes to Governance Arrangements

Report to be

Standards Committee on 22 June 2015 (Virtual Meeting)
Governance and Audit Committee on 22 June 2015

considered by:

Council on 2 July 2015

Forward Plan Ref: C2987

# **Purpose of Report:**

To present the recommendation to create a Governance and Ethics Committee in place of the Governance and Audit Committee and Standards Committee.

# **Recommended Action:**

- 1. To consider amalgamating the Governance and Audit and Standards Committees to form the Governance and Ethics Committee.
- 2. To delegate authority to the Monitoring Officer to amend the Constitution (including the terms of reference) to reflect any merging of the Standards Committee and the Governance and Audit Committee if Members are minded to approve it.
- 3. To delegate authority to the Head of Strategic Support to amend the Timetable of Meetings for 2015/16 if Members are minded to approve the merging of the two Committees.

Reason for decision to be taken:

At the request of Corporate Board

Other options considered:

Not to amalgamate the Committees

Key background documentation:

West Berkshire Council Constitution Annual Standards Committee Report

Minutes of Standards Committee (20.04.2015)

Minutes of Governance and Audit Committee (27.04.2015)

Published Works:

Localism Act 2011

Local Government Act 1972 Local Government Act 2000

The proposals will help achieve the following Council Strategy aim:

 $\square$ 

CSA5 - Become an even more effective Council

The proposals contained in this report will help to achieve the above Council Strategy aim by:

Ensuring that the Council's governance arrangements are streamlined

Portfolio Member Details						
Name & Telephone No	counci	Councillor Gordon Lundie – Tel (01488) 73350				
E-mail Address:	glundie	glundie@westberks.gov.uk				
Date Portfolio Membe agreed report:	<b>r</b> 4 June	4 June 2015				
Contact Officer Detail	S					
Name:	David I	Holling				
Job Title:	Head c	Head of Legal Services				
Tel. No.:	01635	01635 519422				
E-mail Address:	dholling	dholling@westberks.gov.uk				
Implications Policy:	•	changes to the Constitution ar				
Financial:	The amalga	refreshments and Members Travel Allowances.				
Personnel:	N/A					
Legal/Procurement:	Will require	/ill require the Constitution to be updated.				
Property:	N/A		· ·			
	N/A					
Risk Management:	N/A					
Risk Management:		Please tick relevan	t boxes	Yes	No	
Is this item relevant	to equality?	Please tick relevan s, employees or the wider com		Yes	No	
Is this item relevant to Does the policy affect and:  Is it likely to affect a	to equality? service users		munity	Yes	No No	
Is this item relevant and:  • Is it likely to affect a differently?	to equality? service users beople with pa	s, employees or the wider com	imunity	Yes		
Is this item relevant and:  • Is it likely to affect a differently?  • Is it a major policy,	to equality? service users beople with pa	s, employees or the wider com articular protected characteris affecting how functions are de	imunity tics livered?	Yes		
Is this item relevant and:  • Is it likely to affect a differently?  • Is it a major policy,	to equality? service users beople with particular significantly are a significant	s, employees or the wider com	imunity tics livered?	Yes		
Is this item relevant and:  • Is it likely to affect a differently?  • Is it a major policy,  • Will the policy have operate in terms of	service users beople with passignificantly as a significant equality?	s, employees or the wider com articular protected characteris affecting how functions are de	tics livered?	Yes		
Is this item relevant and:  • Is it likely to affect a differently?  • Is it a major policy,  • Will the policy have operate in terms of  • Does the policy relabeing important to	service users  people with particular as significantly as a significant equality?  ate to function people with p	articular protected characteristaffecting how functions are de impact on how other organisations that engagement has identificarticular protected characteris	tics livered? ations fied as	Yes		
Is this item relevant and:  • Is it likely to affect a differently?  • Is it a major policy,  • Will the policy have operate in terms of  • Does the policy relabeing important to	service users  people with particular as significantly as a significant equality?  ate to function people with p	s, employees or the wider com articular protected characteris affecting how functions are de impact on how other organisans that engagement has identi	tics livered? ations fied as	Yes		
Is this item relevant to Does the policy affect and:  Is it likely to affect prodifferently?  Is it a major policy,  Will the policy have operate in terms of policy relabeling important to being important to Does the policy relabeling to Does the policy relabeling important to Does the D	to equality? service users beople with particular as significantly as a significant equality? ate to function people with parte to an areas or more 'Yes	articular protected characteristraticular protected characteristraticular protected characteristraticular how functions are destimpact on how other organisaries that engagement has identificated characteristraticular protected characteristraticular prote	tics livered? ations fied as tics?			
Is this item relevant and:  Is it likely to affect and:  Is it likely to affect adifferently?  Is it a major policy,  Will the policy have operate in terms of  Does the policy relabeing important to being important to Does the policy relabetation.  Outcome (Where one Relevant to equality -	to equality? service users beople with particular as significantly are as significant equality? ate to function people with parte to an area or more 'Yes Complete an	articular protected characterist affecting how functions are de impact on how other organisates that engagement has identificational protected characterista with known inequalities?	tics livered? ations fied as tics?			
Is this item relevant to Does the policy affect and:  Is it likely to affect prodifferently?  Is it a major policy,  Will the policy have operate in terms of policy relabeling important to being important to Does the policy relabeling to Does the policy relabeling important to Does the D	to equality? service users beople with particular as significantly are as significant equality? ate to function people with parte to an area or more 'Yes Complete an	articular protected characteristraticular protected characteristraticular protected characteristraticular how functions are destimpact on how other organisaries that engagement has identificated characteristraticular protected characteristraticular prote	tics livered? ations fied as tics?			
Is this item relevant and:  Is it likely to affect and:  Is it likely to affect adifferently?  Is it a major policy,  Will the policy have operate in terms of  Does the policy relabeing important to being important to Does the policy relabetation.  Outcome (Where one Relevant to equality -	to equality? service users beople with particular significantly are a significant equality? ate to function people with parte to an area or more 'Yes Complete an y	articular protected characteristraticular protected characteristraticular protected characteristraticular how functions are destimpact on how other organisaries that engagement has identificated characteristraticular protected characteristraticular prote	tics livered? ations fied as tics? relevant			
Is this item relevant to Does the policy affect and:  Is it likely to affect prodifferently?  Is it a major policy,  Will the policy have operate in terms of  Does the policy relabeing important to being important to Does the policy relabeing important to Poes the policy relabeing important to Poes the policy relabeing important to Poes the policy relabelevant to equality - Not relevant to equality - Not relevant to equality	to equality? service users beople with particular as significantly are to function people with parte to an area or more 'Yes Complete an y	articular protected characteristraticular protected characteri	tics livered? ations fied as tics? relevant	to equal		
Is this item relevant to Does the policy affect and:  Is it likely to affect prodifferently?  Is it a major policy,  Will the policy have operate in terms of  Does the policy relabeing important to being important to Does the policy relabeing important to Poes the policy relabelevant to equality - Not relevant to equality - Not relevant to equality - Not relevant to equality - Not subject to call-in	service users becopie with particular as significantly as a significant equality? ate to function people with parte to an arease or more 'Yes Complete an y	articular protected characteristraticular protected characteristraticular protected characteristraticular how other organisations that engagement has identificated characteristraticular protected characteristraticular prot	tics livered? ations fied as tics? relevant	to equal		

# **Executive Summary**

### 1. Introduction

1.1 This report was previously considered by Corporate Board and latterly by the Standards Committee and the Governance and Audit Committee. While Corporate Board was supportive of the proposal it did not find favour with Members on either of the two Committee meetings. The workload of the Standards Committee has declined over the last few years. Officers have therefore been asked to look into merging the Governance and Audit and Standards Committees of the Council in order to streamline governance arrangements.

# 2. Proposals

# 2.1 It is proposed that:

- The two Committees are merged to form a Governance and Ethics Committee;
- A revised set of terms of reference of the Governance and Ethics Committee be adopted;
- The membership of the revised Governance and Ethics Committee to comprise ten members (eight District Councillors appointed on a proportional basis and two co-opted non-voting Parish/Town Councillors);
- The structure of agendas be arranged so as to ensure that the Parish Councillors would only need to attend the Standards element of the meeting;
- The Advisory Panel and Independent Persons to be retained;
- Members would not be able to sit on both the Advisory Panel and the Governance and Ethics Committee;
- To note that the role of the Independent Persons has already been updated to include the requirements set out in The Local Authorities (Standing Orders) (England) (Amendment) Regulations 2015;
- Authority be delegated to the Monitoring Officer to amend all relevant parts of the Constitution to reflect the new governance structure;
- Authority be delegated to the Head of Strategic Support to amend the timetable of meetings for 2015/16.

# 3. Equalities Impact Assessment Outcomes

3.1 This item is not relevant to equality.

## 4. Conclusion

4.1 Although the merger of the two Committees will not generate significant financial savings this merger will assist the Council with streamlining its governance arrangements. Council is therefore invited to accept and endorse Officer's recommendations to combine the Governance and Audit Committee and Standards Committee to create a Governance and Ethics Committee, as detailed above.

# **Executive Report**

### 1. Introduction

- 1.1 This report was previously considered by Corporate Board (07 April 2015) and latterly by the Standards Committee (20 April 2015) and the Governance and Audit Committee (27 April 2015). While Corporate Board was supportive of the proposal it did not find favour with Members on either of the two Committees. The concerns raised by Members are set out in sections 7 and 8 below.
- 1.2 The Council, in its constitution, delegates its work in relation to challenge and independent assurance of the Risk Management Framework and associated internal control to the Governance and Audit Committee.
- 1.2 At present, the main roles of the Governance and Audit Committee are to challenge and provide independent assurance on the Risk Management Framework and associated internal controls of the Council, consider and make recommendations to the Council on proposed changes to the Constitution, review the Council's financial statements and review the external auditors' annual audit letter.
- 1.3 The Governance and Audit Committee met five times in the 2014/15 Municipal Year. This Committee currently comprises eight Members appointed on a proportional basis.
- 1.4 The main roles of the Standards Committee are promoting and maintaining high standards of conduct by Councillors and co-opted Members; assisting Councillors and co-opted Members to observe the Members' Code of Conduct; advising the Council on the adoption or revision of the Members' Code of Conduct; monitoring its operation; advising and training Councillors and co-opted Members on matters relating to the Members' Code of Conduct; granting dispensations to Councillors and co-opted Members on requirements relating to interests; ensuring arrangements are in place under which allegations of misconduct in respect of the members' Code of Conduct can be investigated, to review such arrangements appropriately; and to exercise the above in relation to the Parish and Town Councils in the District.
- 1.5 The Standards Committee also has a role in considering and recommending improvements to the relevant sections of the Constitution covering the conduct of Councillors and ethical standards of the Council.
- 1.6 The Standards Committee met five times in the 2014/15 municipal year; the first was to elect the Chairman and vice-Chairman and four subsequent meetings in the main to receive the quarterly Standards Reports. Two of these meetings were held virtually. This Committee currently comprises eight members comprising six District Councillors and two non-voting co-opted Parish Council representatives. The District Councillors are appointed on a proportional basis.
- 1.7 The number of complaints about Councillors has reduced over the last few years although the workload associated with dealing with and avoiding complaints by Officers is still high. In addition, due to introduction of the revised procedures in 2012, the number of complaints being investigated has reduced significantly. The Standards Committee is only required to consider complaints where the matter has

- been investigated and a potential breach of the relevant Code of Conduct is identified by the investigator.
- 1.8 Due to the reduced workload of the Standards Committee it is proposed that the Governance and Audit and Standards Committees be merged to create a new Committee to be known as the Governance and Ethics Committee.
- 1.9 Following the enactment of the Localism Act 2011 the Council amended the Standards processes and procedures. The revised governance structures included the appointment of an Advisory Panel and it is proposed that this panel be retained.
- 1.10 Under Section 28 of the Localism Act 2011 the Council has to appoint at least one Independent Person who is consulted before any decision is made to investigate an allegation against any Member of the Council or any Parish Councillor. It is proposed that this arrangement is also retained.

# 2. Proposed Revised Terms of Reference

- 2.1 The purpose of the Governance and Ethics Committee will be to provide effective challenge across the Council including maintaining high standards of conduct and provide independent assurance on the risk management framework and associated internal control environment to Members and the public, independently of the Executive.
- 2.2 It is proposed that the functions of the Governance and Audit Committee and Standards Committee be combined to create the proposed terms of reference for the Governance and Ethics Committee set out in Appendix A.

### 3. Membership

3.1 It is proposed that, in line with the Governance and Audit Committee, the Governance and Ethics Committee shall consist of ten members comprising eight Councillors, reflecting the political balance of the Council and two co-opted non voting Parish/Town Councillors. The Parish Councillors would only be invited to attend the meeting when Standards matters were due to be discussed. Agendas would be structured so that Standards items would be considered at the start of the meetings in order that Parish Councillors would be able to leave the meetings at that point should they wish to. Members would not be able to sit on both the Governance and Ethics Committee and the Advisory Panel.

# 4. Independent Persons

4.1 Under Section 28 of the Localism Act 2011 the Council has to ensure it has appointed at least one Independent Person who is consulted before any decision is made to investigate an allegation against any Member of the Council or any Parish/Town Councillor. It was agreed at the Full Council meeting on the 27 September 2012 that the Independent Person may be consulted directly either by the person who has made the complaint or the person the complaint has been made about. Two Independent Persons were therefore appointed in order to ensure that a conflict situation did not arise. It is proposed that going forward three Independent Persons are appointed and they used on a rotational basis to assess complaints and support the Advisory Panel.

- 4.2 The extension of the role of the Independent Person to accommodate the requirements of The Local Authorities (Standing Orders) (England) (Amendment) Regulations 2015 was confirmed at the May 2015 Council meeting. The role was expanded to include a requirement to sit on a panel where the potential dismissal or disciplining of the Head of Paid Service, Monitoring Officer or S151 Officer is discussed. This panel would make a recommendation to Full Council.
- 4.3 For the avoidance of doubt a person is not considered to be "independent" if:
  - a) They are or have been, within the last five years, an elected or co-opted Member or officer of the Council or of any Parish Council's within this area. This also applies to committees or sub-committees of the various Councils.
  - b) They are a relative or close friend of a current elected, or co-opted, Member or officer of the Council or any Parish Council within its area, or any elected or co-opted member of any committee or sub-committee.
  - c) The definition of relative includes the candidate's spouse, civil partner, grandparent, child etc.

# 5. Role of the Advisory Panel

- 5.1 The membership of the Governance and Ethics Committee would be appointed on a proportional basis. Current legislation excludes independent members and Parish Council representatives from sitting on Standards Committees. The Advisory Panel makes recommendations to Standards Committee in regards complaints and investigations about both District and Parish Councillors.
- 5.2 It is therefore proposed that the Governance and Ethics Committee would continue to be supported by the Advisory Panel for the following reasons;
  - in order to minimise accusations of political bias;
  - and as West Berkshire Council has responsibility for dealing with complaints against elected and appointed members of Parish/ Town Councils.
- 5.3 It is proposed that the current membership of the Advisory Panel comprising eight members be retained. This would include two members of the Administration, two members of the Opposition, two Parish and one of the Independent Persons and is chaired by the Independent Person.
- 5.4 Members of the Advisory Panel could not also be members of the Governance and Ethics Committee.

## 6. Savings

6.1 This amalgamation of the two Committees will generate a small saving (refreshments and travel for 4 meetings a year of approximately £300per annum).

## 7. Outcome of the Discussion of this Item at Standards Committee

7.1 This report was discussed at the Standards Committee at their meeting on the 20 April 2015 and they resolved that:

- A recommendation be made to Full Council not to amalgamate the Governance and Audit and Standards Committees to form the Governance and Ethics Committee for the following reasons:
  - a. There was some uncertainty around the outcome of the election;
  - b. The impact of the introduction of the Community Infrastructure Levy particularly on Town and Parish Councils was unknown at this point in time;
  - c. The merger appeared to be based on administrative expediency rather than having a policy basis.
- 2. The decision to be reviewed in 12 months time.
- 8. Outcome of the Discussion of this Item at Governance and Audit Committee
- 8.1 This report was discussed at the Governance and Audit Committee at their meeting on the 27 April 2015 and they resolved that they needed more time to consider the implications of the amalgamation of the two Committees and a decision should be delayed until the July 2015 Council meeting.

## 9. Conclusion

9.1 The proposal to combine the Governance and Audit Committee and Standards Committee to create a Governance and Ethics Committee is approved.

## **Appendices**

Appendix A – Proposed Terms of Reference of the Governance and Ethics Committee

#### Consultees

Local Stakeholders: Standards Committee and Governance and Audit Committee

Officers Consulted: Moira Fraser, Andy Day, Sarah Clarke, Joanna Reeves, Ian

Priestley, Andy Walker, Corporate Board

Trade Union: Not consulted